arXiv:math/0511163v2 [math.AG] 15 Dec 2005

Betti numbers of holomorphic symplectic quotients via arithmetic Fourier transform

Tamás Hausel*
University of Oxford
University of Texas at Austin
`hausel@maths.ox.ac.uk`

February 2, 2008

Abstract

A Fourier transform technique is introduced for counting the number of solutions of holomorphic moment map equations over a finite field. This in turn gives information on Betti numbers of holomorphic symplectic quotients. As a consequence simple unified proofs are obtained for formulas of Poincaré polynomials of toric hyperkähler varieties (recovering results of Bielawski-Dancer and Hausel-Sturmfels), Poincaré polynomials of Hilbert schemes of points and twisted ADHM spaces of instantons on $\mathbb{C}^2$ (recovering results of Nakajima-Yoshioka) and Poincaré polynomials of all Nakajima quiver varieties. As an application, a proof of a conjecture of Kac on the number of absolutely indecomposable representations of a quiver is announced.

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field, which will be either the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ or the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ in this paper. Let G be a reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{K}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. Consider a representation $\rho : \mathrm{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V})$ of G on a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathbb{V}$, inducing the Lie algebra representation $\varrho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{V})$. This induces an action $\rho : \mathrm{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{M})$ on $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}^*$. The vector space $\mathbb{M}$ has a natural symplectic structure; defined by the natural pairing $\langle v, w\rangle = w(v)$, with $v \in \mathbb{V}$ and $w \in \mathbb{V}^*$. With respect to this symplectic form a moment map

$$\mu : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^*$$

of ρ is given at $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$\langle \mu(v, w), X\rangle = \langle \varrho(X)v, w\rangle. \tag{1}$$

Let now $\xi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathrm{G}}$ be a central element, then the holomorphic symplectic quotient is defined by the affine GIT quotient:

$$\mathbb{M}////_{\xi}\mathrm{G} := (\mu^{-1}(\xi))//\mathrm{G},$$

which is the affine algebraic geometric version of the hyperkähler quotient construction of [10]. In particular our varieties, additionally to the holomorphic symplectic structure, will carry a natural hyperkähler metric, although the latter will not feature in what follows.

Our main proposition counts rational points on the varieties $\mu^{-1}(\xi)$ over the finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $q = p^r$ is a prime power. For convenience we will use the same letters $\mathbb{V}, \mathrm{G}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{M}, \xi$ for the corresponding vector

---

[*] Research supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship, an NSF grant DMS-0305505, an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship and a Summer Research Assignement of the University of Texas at Austin.

spaces, groups, Lie algebras and matrices over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. We define the function $a_\varrho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{C}$ at $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ as

$$a_\varrho(X) := |\ker(\varrho(X))|, \tag{2}$$

where we used the notation $|S|$ for the number of elements in any set S. In particular $a_\varrho(X)$ is always a power of q. For an element $v \in V$ of any vector space we define the characteristic function $\delta_v : V \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\delta_v(x) = 0$ unless $x = v$ when $\delta_v(v) = 1$. We can now formulate a generalization of the Fourier transform formula in [7]:

Proposition 1 *The number of solutions of the equation $\mu(v, w) = \xi$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ equals:*

$$\#\{(v, w) \in \mathbb{M} \mid \mu(v, w) = \xi\} \quad = \quad |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1/2}|\mathbb{V}|\mathcal{F}(a_\varrho)(\xi) = |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1}|\mathbb{V}| \sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} a_\varrho(X)\Psi(\langle X, \xi\rangle)$$

In order to explain the last two terms in the proposition above we need to define Fourier transforms [14] of functions $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$ on the finite Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, which here we think of as an abelian group with its additive structure. To define this fix $\Psi : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ a non-trivial additive character, and then we define the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(f) : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathbb{C}$ at a $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^*$

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(Y) = |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1/2} \sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} f(X)\Psi(\langle X, Y\rangle).$$

Proof. Using two basic properties of Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(f))(X) = f(-X)$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and

$$\sum_{w\in V^*} \Psi(\langle v, w\rangle) = |V|\delta_0(v) \tag{3}$$

for $v \in V$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\#\{(v, w) \in \mathbb{M} \mid \mu(v, w) = \xi\} &= \sum_{v\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{w\in\mathbb{V}^*} \delta_\xi(\mu(v, w)) = \sum_{v\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{w\in\mathbb{V}^*} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(\delta_\xi))(-\mu(v, w)) \\
&= \sum_{v\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{w\in\mathbb{V}^*}\sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1/2}\mathcal{F}(\delta_\xi)(X)\Psi(\langle X, -\mu(v, w)\rangle)) \\
&= \sum_{v\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1/2}\mathcal{F}(\delta_\xi)(X) \sum_{w\in\mathbb{V}^*} \Psi(-\langle\varrho(X)v, w\rangle) \\
&= \sum_{v\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1/2}\mathcal{F}(\delta_\xi)(X)|\mathbb{V}|\delta_0(\varrho(X)v) \\
&= \sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1/2}\mathcal{F}(\delta_\xi)(X)|\mathbb{V}|a_\varrho(X) \\
&= \sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1}|\mathbb{V}|a_\varrho(X) \sum_{Y\in\mathfrak{g}^*} \delta_\xi(Y)\Psi(\langle X, Y\rangle) \\
&= |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1}|\mathbb{V}| \sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} a_\varrho(X)\Psi(\langle X, \xi\rangle) \\
&= |\mathfrak{g}|^{-1/2}|\mathbb{V}|\mathcal{F}(a_\varrho)(\xi)
\end{aligned}$$

□

1 Affine toric hyperkähler varieties

We take $\mathrm{G} = \mathbb{T}^d \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$ a torus. A vector configuration $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^d$ gives a representation $\rho_A : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^n \subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V})$, where $\mathbb{V} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ is an n-dimensional vector space and $\mathbb{T}^n \subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V})$ is a fixed maximal torus. The corresponding map on the Lie algebras is $\varrho_A : \mathfrak{t}^d \to \mathfrak{t}^n$. The holomorphic moment map of this action $\mu_A : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}^* \to (\mathfrak{t}^d)^*$ is given by (1) which in this case takes the explicit form

$$\mu_A(v, w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i a_i.$$

We take a generic $\xi \in (\mathfrak{t}^d)^*$. The affine toric hyperkähler variety is then defined as the affine GIT quotient: $\mathcal{M}(\xi, A) = \mu_A^{-1}(\xi)//\mathbb{T}^d$. In order to use our main result we need to determine $a_\varrho(X)$. Note that the natural basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in (\mathfrak{t}^n)^*$ gives us a collection of hyperplanes $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ in $\mathfrak{t}^d$. Now for $X \in \mathfrak{t}^d$ we have that $a_\varrho(X) = q^{ca(X)}$, where $ca(X)$ is the number of hyperplanes, which contain X. Finally we take the intersection lattice $L(A)$ of this hyperplane arrangement; i.e. the set of all subspaces of $\mathfrak{t}^d$ which arise as the intersection of any collection of our hyperplanes; with partial ordering given by containment. The generic choice of ξ will ensure that ξ will not be trivial on any subspace in the lattice $L(A)$. Thus for any subspace $V \in L(A)$, we have from (3) that $\sum_{X \in V} \Psi(\langle X, \xi \rangle) = 0$.

Now we can use Proposition 1. If we perform the sum we get a combinatorial expression:

$$\#(\mathcal{M}(\xi, A)) = \frac{q^{n-d}}{(q-1)^d} \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{t}^d} a_\varrho(X) \Psi(\langle X, \xi \rangle) = \frac{q^{n-d}}{(q-1)^d} \sum_{V \in L(A)} \mu_{L(A)}(V) q^{ca(V)},$$

where $\mu_{L(A)}$ is the Möbius function of the partially ordered set $L(A)$, while $ca(V)$ is the number of coatoms, i.e. hyperplanes containing V. Because the count above is polynomial in q and the mixed Hodge structure on $\mathcal{M}(\xi, A)$ is pure we get that for the Poincaré polynomial we need to take the opposite of the count polynomial, i.e. substitute $q = 1/t^2$ and multiply by $t^{4(n-d)}$. This yields

Theorem 2 *The Poincaré polynomial of the toric hyperkähler variety is given by*

$$P_t(\mathcal{M}(\xi, A)) = \frac{1}{t^{2d}(1-t^2)^d} \sum_{V \in L(A)} \mu_{L(A)}(V) (t^2)^{n - ca(V)}.$$

One can prove by a simple deletion contraction argument (and it also follows[1] from the second proof of Proposition 6.3.26 of [3]) that for any matroid $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and its dual $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}$

$$\frac{1}{q^d(1-q)^d} \sum_{V \in L(A)} \mu_{L(A)}(V) (q)^{n-ca(V)} = h(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}),$$

where

$$h(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-d} h_i(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}) q^i$$

is the h-polynomial of the dual matroid $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}$. This way we recover a result of [2] and [9], for a more recent arithmetic proof see [22] :

Corollary 3 *The Poincaré polynomial of the toric hyperkähler variety is given by*

$$P_t(\mathcal{M}(\xi, A)) = h(\mathcal{M}_B)(t^2),$$

where B is a Gale dual vector configuration of A.

---

[1] I thank Ed Swartz for this reference.

2 Hilbert scheme of n-points on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and ADHM spaces

Here $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{GL}(V)$, where V is an n-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$ vector space. We need three types of basic representations of G. The adjoint representation $\rho_{ad} : \mathrm{GL}(V) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{gl}(V))$, the defining representation $\rho_{def} = Id : \mathrm{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and the trivial representations $\rho^k_{triv} = 1 : \mathrm{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{K}^k)$. Fix k and n. Define $\mathbb{V} = \mathfrak{gl}(V) \times V \otimes \mathbb{K}^k$, $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}^*$ and $\rho : \mathrm{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V})$ by $\rho = \rho_{ad} \times \rho_{def} \otimes \rho^k_{triv}$. Then we take the central element $\xi = Id_V \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and define the twisted ADHM space as

$$\mathcal{M}(n,k) = \mathbb{M}////_{\xi}\mathrm{G} = \mu^{-1}(\xi)//\mathrm{G},$$

where

$$\mu(A,B,I,J) = [A,B] + IJ,$$

with $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, $I \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{K}^k, V)$ and $J \in \mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{K}^k)$.

The space $\mathcal{M}(n,k)$ is empty when $k = 0$ (the trace of a commutator is always zero), diffeomorphic with the Hilbert scheme of n-points on $\mathbb{C}^2$, when $k = 1$, and is the twisted version of the ADHM space [1] of $U(k)$ Yang-Mills instantons of charge n on $\mathbb{R}^4$ (c.f. [19]). By our main Proposition 1 the number of solutions over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ of the equation

$$[A,B] + IJ = Id_V$$

is the Fourier transform on $\mathfrak{g}$ of the function $a_{\varrho}(X) = |\ker(\varrho(X))|$. First we determine $a_{\varrho}(X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. By the definition of ϱ we have

$$\ker(\varrho(X)) = \ker(\varrho_{ad}(X)) \times \ker(\varrho_{def}) \otimes \mathbb{K}^k,$$

and so if $a_{\varrho_{ad}}(X) = |\ker(\varrho_{ad}(X))|$ and $a_{\varrho_{def}} = |\ker(\varrho_{def})|$ then we have

$$a_{\varrho}(X) = a_{\varrho_{ad}}(X) a^k_{\varrho_{def}}(X).$$

This and Proposition 1 gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
\#(\mathcal{M}(n,k)) &= \frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|}\#\{(v,w) \in \mathbb{M} | \mu(v,w) = \xi\} \\
&= \frac{|\mathbb{V}|}{|\mathfrak{g}||\mathrm{G}|}\sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} a_{\varrho}(X)\Psi(\langle X, \xi\rangle) \\
&= \frac{|\mathbb{V}|}{|\mathfrak{g}||\mathrm{G}|}\sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} a_{\varrho_{ad}}(X) a^k_{\varrho_{def}}(X)\Psi(\langle X, \xi\rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

We will perform the sum adjoint orbit by adjoint orbit. The adjoint orbits of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, according to their Jordan normal forms, fall into types, labeled by $\mathcal{T}(n)$, which stands for the set of all possible Jordan normal forms of elements in $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_{reg}(t)$ the types of the regular (i.e. non-singular) adjoint orbits, while $\mathcal{T}_{nil}(s) = \mathcal{P}(s)$ denotes the types of the nilpotent adjoint orbits, which are just given by partitions of s. First we do the $k = 0$ case where we know a priori, that the count should be 0, because the commutator of any two matrix is always trace-free thus cannot equal ξ (for almost all q). Additionally, if we separate the nilpotent and regular parts of our adjoint orbits we get

$$0 = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|}\sum_{X\in\mathfrak{g}} a_{\varrho_{ad}}(X)\Psi(\langle X, \xi\rangle) \quad = \quad \sum_{n=s+t}\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{T}_{nil}(s)} \frac{|\mathfrak{C}_{\lambda}|}{|C_{\lambda}|}\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_{reg}(t)}\frac{|\mathfrak{C}_{\tau}|}{|C_{\tau}|}\Psi(\langle X_{\tau}, \xi\rangle),$$

where C_{τ} and respectively $\mathfrak{C}_{\tau}$ denotes the centralizer of an element X_{τ} of $\mathfrak{g}$ of type τ in the adjoint representation of G, respectively $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$.

So if we define the generating serieses:

$$\Phi^0_{nil}(T) = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_{nil}(s)} \frac{|\mathfrak{C}_\lambda|}{|C_\lambda|} T^s,$$

and

$$\Phi_{reg}(T) = 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{reg}(t)} \frac{|\mathfrak{C}_\tau|}{|C_\tau|} \Psi(\langle X_\tau, \xi \rangle) T^t,$$

then we have

$$\Phi^0_{nil}(T)\Phi_{reg}(T) = 1.$$

However Φ^0_{nil} is easy to calculate [6][2]:

$$\Phi^0_{nil}(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - T^i q^{1-j})}, \tag{4}$$

thus we get

$$\Phi_{reg}(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - T^i q^{1-j}). \tag{5}$$

Now the general case is easy to deal with:

$$\frac{\#(\mathcal{M}(n,k))}{q^{nk}} = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{g}} a_{\varrho_{ad}}(X) \Psi(\langle X, \xi \rangle) \quad = \quad \sum_{n=s+t} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_{nil}(s)} \frac{|\mathfrak{C}_\lambda| a^k_{\varrho_{def}}(X_\lambda)}{|C_\lambda|} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{reg}(t)} \frac{|\mathfrak{C}_\tau|}{|C_\tau|} \Psi(\langle X, \xi \rangle).$$

Thus if we define the grand generating function by

$$\Phi^k(T) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \#(\mathcal{M}(n,k)) \frac{T^n}{q^{kn}} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\Phi^k_{nil}(T) = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_{nil}(s)} \frac{|\mathfrak{C}_\lambda| |\ker(X_\lambda)|^k}{|C_\lambda|} T^s,$$

then for the latter we get similarly to the argument for (4) in [6] that

$$\Phi^k_{nil} = \Phi^k_{nil}(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - T^i q^{k+1-j})}.$$

For the grand generating function then we get

$$\Phi^k(T) = \Phi^k_{nil}(T)\Phi_{reg}(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \frac{1}{(1 - T^i q^l)}.$$

Because the mixed Hodge structure is pure, and this count is polynomial, this also gives the compactly supported Poincaré polynomial. In order to get the ordinary Poincaré polynomial, we need to replace $q = 1/t^2$ and multiply the nth term in (6) by t^{4kn}. This way we get

Theorem 4 *The generating function of the Poincaré polynomials of the twisted ADHM spaces, are given by:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_t(\mathcal{M}(k,n)) T^n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{b=1}^{k} \frac{1}{(1 - t^{2(k(i-1)+b-1)} T^i)}.$$

This result appeared as[3] Corollary 3.10 in [20].

---

[2] I thank Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas for this reference.

[3] I thank Balázs Szendrői for this reference.

3 Quiver varieties of Nakajima

Here we recall the definition of the affine version of Nakajima's quiver varieties [17]. Let $Q = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a quiver, i.e. an oriented graph on a finite set $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ a finite set of oriented (perhaps multiple and loop) edges. To each vertex i of the graph we associate two finite dimensional $\mathbb{K}$ vector spaces V_i and W_i. We call $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n) = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ the dimension vector, where $\mathbf{v}_i = \dim(V_i)$ and $\mathbf{w}_i = \dim(W_i)$. To this data we associate the grand vector space:

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}} = \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Hom}(V_i, V_j) \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{Hom}(V_i, W_i),$$

the group and its Lie algebra

$$\mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{v}} = \mathop{\Large\times}_{i\in\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{v}} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{V}} \mathfrak{gl}(V_i),$$

and the natural representation

$$\rho_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}} : \mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{v}} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}),$$

with derivative

$$\varrho_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{v}} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}).$$

The action is from both left and right on the first term, and from the left on the second.

We now have $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{v}}$ acting on $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}} = \mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}} \times \mathbb{V}^*_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}$ preserving the symplectic form with moment map $\mu_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}} : \mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}} \times \mathbb{V}^*_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}} \to \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbf{v}}$ given by (1). We take now $\xi_{\mathbf{v}} = (Id_{V_1}, \dots, Id_{V_n}) \in (\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbf{v}})^{\mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{v}}}$, and define the affine Nakajima quiver variety [17] as

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mu^{-1}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}(\xi_{\mathbf{v}}) // \mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

Here we determine the Betti numbers of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ using our main Proposition 1, by calculating the Fourier transform of the function $a_{\varrho_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}}$ given in (2).

First we introduce, for a dimension vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the generating function

$$\Phi_{nil}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v}=(\mathbf{v}_1,\dots,\mathbf{v}_n)\in\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{N}}} \prod_{i\in V} T_i^{\mathbf{v}_i} \sum_{\lambda^1\in\mathcal{T}_{nil}(\mathbf{v}_1)} \cdots \sum_{\lambda^n\in\mathcal{T}_{nil}(\mathbf{v}_n)} \frac{a_{\varrho_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}}(X_{\lambda^1}, \dots, X_{\lambda^n})}{|C_{\lambda^1}| \cdots |C_{\lambda^n}|},$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{nil}(s)$ is the set of types of nilpotent $s \times s$ matrices; where a type is given by a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(s)$ of s, X_λ denotes the typical $s \times s$ nilpotent matrix in $\mathfrak{gl}(s)$ in Jordan form of type λ, C_λ is the centralizer of X_λ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{GL}(s)$ on $\mathfrak{gl}(s)$. We also introduce the generating function

$$\Phi_{reg} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}=(\mathbf{v}_1,\dots,\mathbf{v}_n)\in\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{N}}} \prod_{i\in V} T_i^{\mathbf{v}_i} \sum_{\tau_1\in\mathcal{T}_{reg}(\mathbf{v}_1)} \cdots \sum_{\tau_n\in\mathcal{T}_{reg}(\mathbf{v}_n)} \frac{a_{\varrho_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{0}}}(X_{\tau_1}, \dots, X_{\tau_n})}{|C_{\tau_1}| \cdots |C_{\tau_n}|} \Psi(\langle X_\tau, \xi_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle),$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{reg}(t)$ is the set of types τ, i.e. Jordan normal forms, of a regular $t \times t$ matrix X_τ in $\mathfrak{gl}(t)$, $C_\tau \subset \mathrm{GL}(t)$ its centralizer under the adjoint action. Note also that for a regular element $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{v}}$, $a_{\varrho_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}}(X) = a_{\varrho_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{0}}}(X)$ does not depend on $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Now we introduce for $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{N}}$ the grand generating function

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{N}}} \#(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})) \frac{|\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{v}}|}{|\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}|} T^{\mathbf{v}}. \tag{7}$$

As in the previous section, our main Proposition 1 implies

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \Phi_{nil}(\mathbf{w}) \Phi_{reg}. \tag{8}$$

Finally we note, that when $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ we have $\varrho_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{0}}(\xi^*_{\mathbf{v}}) = 0$, where $\xi^*_{\mathbf{v}} = (Id_{V_1}, \ldots, Id_{V_n}) \in \mathfrak{g}$, thus by (1) $\langle \mu_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{0}}(v, \mathbf{0}), \xi^*_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle = 0$. Because $\langle \xi_{\mathbf{v}}, \xi^*_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle = \sum \mathbf{v}_i$, the equation $\mu_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{0}}(v, w) = \xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ has no solutions (for almost all q). This way we get that $\Phi(\mathbf{0}) = 1$ and so (8) yields $\Phi_{reg} = \frac{1}{\Phi_{nil}(\mathbf{0})}$, giving the result

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\Phi_{nil}(\mathbf{w})}{\Phi_{nil}(\mathbf{0})}.$$

Therefore it is enough to understand $\Phi_{nil}(\mathbf{w})$, which reduces to a simple linear algebra problem of determining $a_{\varrho_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}}(X_{\lambda^1}, \ldots, X_{\lambda^n})$. Putting together everything yields the following:

Theorem 5 *Let $Q = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a quiver, with $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$, with possibly multiple edges and loops. Fix a dimension vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{V}}$. The Poincaré polynomials $P_t(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}))$ of the corresponding Nakajima quiver varieties are given by the generating function:*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{V}}} P_t(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})) t^{-d(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})} T^{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{V}}} T^{\mathbf{v}} \sum_{\lambda^1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{v}_1)} \cdots \sum_{\lambda^n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{v}_n)} \frac{\left(\prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} t^{-2n(\lambda^i, \lambda^j)}\right)\left(\prod_{i \in \mathcal{V}} t^{-2n(\lambda^i, (1^{\mathbf{w}_i}))}\right)}{\prod_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(t^{-2n(\lambda^i, \lambda^i)} \prod_k \prod_{j=1}^{m_k(\lambda^i)} (1 - t^{2j})\right)}}{\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{V}}} T^{\mathbf{v}} \sum_{\lambda^1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{v}_1)} \cdots \sum_{\lambda^n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{v}_n)} \frac{\prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} t^{-2n(\lambda^i, \lambda^j))}}{\prod_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(t^{-2n(\lambda^i, \lambda^i))} \prod_k \prod_{j=1}^{m_k(\lambda^i)} (1 - t^{2j})\right)}}, \tag{9}$$

where $d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 2\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j + 2\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{v}_i(\mathbf{w}_i - \mathbf{v}_i)$ is the dimension of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ and $T^{\mathbf{v}} = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{V}} T_i^{\mathbf{v}_i}$. $\mathcal{P}(s)$ stands for the set of partitions[4] of $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For two partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l) \in \mathcal{P}(s)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m) \in \mathcal{P}(s)$ we define $n(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{i,j} \min(\lambda_i, \mu_j)$, and if we write $\lambda = (1^{m_1(\lambda)}, 2^{m_2(\lambda)}, \ldots) \in \mathcal{P}(s)$, then we can define $l(\lambda) = \sum m_i(\lambda) = l$ the number of parts in λ . With this notation $n(\lambda^i, (1^{\mathbf{w}_i})) = \mathbf{w}_i l(\lambda^i)$ in the above formula.

Remark. This single formula encompasses a surprising amount of combinatorics and representation theory. When $\mathbf{v} = (1, \ldots, 1)$ the Nakajima quiver variety is a toric hyperkähler variety, thus (9) gives a new formula for its Poincaré polynomial, which was given in Corollary 3. If additionally $\mathbf{w} = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ then $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is the toric quiver variety of [9]. Therefore its Poincaré polynomial, which is the reliability polynomial[5] of the graph underlying the quiver [9] can also be read off from the above formula (9).

When the quiver is just a single loop on one vertex, our formula (9) reproduces Theorem 4. When the quiver is of type A_n Nakajima [18] showed, that the Poincaré polynomials of the quiver variety are related to the combinatorics of Young-tableaux, while in the general *ADE* case, Lusztig [15] conjectured a formula for the Poincaré polynomial, in terms of formulae arising in the representation theory of quantum groups. When the quiver is star-shaped recent work in [7, 8] calculates these Poincaré polynomials using the character theory of reductive Lie algebras over finite fields [14], and arrives at formulas determined by the Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions [16], which arose in the context of [7, 8] as the pure part of Macdonald symmetric polynomials [16]. In the case when the quiver has no loops, Nakajima [21] gives a combinatorial algorithm for all Betti numbers of quiver varieties, motivated by the representation theory of quantum loop algebras. Finally, through the paper [5] of Crawley-Boevey and Van den Bergh, Poincaré polynomials of quiver varieties are related to the number of absolutely indecomposable representations of quivers in the work of Kac [13] ; which were eventually completely determined by Hua [11].

In particular, formula (9), when combined with results in [11], [17] and the Weyl-Kac character formula in the representation theory of Kac-Moody algebras [12] yields[6] a simple proof of Conjecture 1 of Kac [13]. Consequently, formula (9) can be viewed as a q-deformation of the Weyl-Kac character formula [12].

A detailed study of the above generating function (9), its relationship to the wide variety of examples mentioned above and details of the proofs of the results of this paper will appear elsewhere.

---

[4] The notation for partitions is that of [16].

[5] Incidentally, the reliability polynomial measures the probability of the graph remaining connected when each edge has the same probability of failure; a concept heavily used in the study of reliability of computer networks [4].

[6] I thank Hiraku Nakajima for suggesting this possibility.

References

[1] M. A , N. H , V. D and Y . M : Construction of instantons. *Phys. Lett. A* **65** (1978), no. 3, 185–187.

[2] R. B and A. D : The geometry and topology of toric hyperkähler manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **8** (2000), no. 4, 727–760.

[3] O. B and J. O : The Tutte polynomial and its applications. *Matroid applications*. Edited by Neil White. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 40. CUP, Cambridge, 1992, 123–225.

[4] C. C : *The combinatorics of network reliability*. International Series of Monographs on Computer Science. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.

[5] W. C -B and M. V B : Absolutely indecomposable representations and Kac-Moody Lie algebras. With an appendix by Hiraku Nakajima. *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 3, 537–559.

[6] W. F and N. F : Pairs of commuting matrices over a finite field. *Duke Math. J.* **27** (1960), 91–94.

[7] T. H : Arithmetic harmonic analysis, Macdonald polynomials, and the topology of the Riemann-Hilbert monodromy map. (under preparation)

[8] T. H , E. L and F. R -V : Arithmetic harmonic analysis, Macdonald polynomials, and the topology of the Riemann-Hilbert monodromy map II. (under preparation)

[9] T. H and B. S : Toric hyperkähler varieties. *Documenta Mathematica*, **7** (2002), 495–534, arXiv: math.AG/0203096

[10] N. H , A. K , U. L ¨ , M. R ˇ : Hyperkähler metrics and supersymmetry. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **108** (1987), 535-589.

[11] J. H : Counting representations of quivers over finite fields. *J. Algebra* **226**, (2000) 1011–1033

[12] V. K : *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras* 3rd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.

[13] V. K : Root systems, representations of quivers and invariant theory. *Invariant theory (Montecatini, 1982)*, 74–108, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **996**, Springer Verlag 1983

[14] E. L : *Fourier Transforms of Invariant Functions on Finite Reductive Lie Algebras*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1859, Springer-Verlag, 2005.

[15] G. L : Fermionic form and Betti numbers. arXiv:math.QA/0005010

[16] I.G. M : *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. second ed., The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.

[17] H. N : Quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **91** (1998), no. 3, 515–560.

[18] H. N : Homology of moduli spaces of instantons on ALE spaces. I. *J. Differential Geom.* **40** (1994), no. 1, 105–127.

[19] H. N : *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces.* AMS, Providence, RI, 1999.

[20] H. N and K. Y : Lectures on instanton counting. *Algebraic structures and moduli spaces*, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, 31–101, arXiv:math.AG/0311058.

[21] H. N : Quiver varieties and t–analogs of q–characters of quantum affine algebras, *Ann. of Math.* **160** (2004), 1057–1097

[22] N. P and B. W : Arithmetic and topology of hypertoric varieties. arXiv: math.AG/0411350